



Designation: D7893 – 13 (Reapproved 2018)

Standard Guide for Corrosion Test Panel Preparation, Testing, and Rating of Coil-Coated Building Products¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D7893; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide has been written specifically for coil-coated metal building products.

1.2 This guide applies to preparation, testing, and rating of line-coated and laboratory-coated test panels for the purpose of comparing and ranking the panels for corrosion resistance and other related properties.

1.3 Testing may include accelerated laboratory corrosion tests and outdoor exposure tests.

1.4 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.

1.5 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.6 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*²

[B117 Practice for Operating Salt Spray \(Fog\) Apparatus](#)

[D610 Practice for Evaluating Degree of Rusting on Painted Steel Surfaces](#)

[D714 Test Method for Evaluating Degree of Blistering of Paints](#)

[D870 Practice for Testing Water Resistance of Coatings Using Water Immersion](#)

[D1654 Test Method for Evaluation of Painted or Coated Specimens Subjected to Corrosive Environments](#)

[D1735 Practice for Testing Water Resistance of Coatings Using Water Fog Apparatus](#)

[D2247 Practice for Testing Water Resistance of Coatings in 100 % Relative Humidity](#)

[D2803 Guide for Testing Filiform Corrosion Resistance of Organic Coatings on Metal](#)

[D3359 Test Methods for Rating Adhesion by Tape Test](#)

[D4138 Practices for Measurement of Dry Film Thickness of Protective Coating Systems by Destructive, Cross-Sectioning Means](#)

[D4585 Practice for Testing Water Resistance of Coatings Using Controlled Condensation](#)

[D5796 Test Method for Measurement of Dry Film Thickness of Thin-Film Coil-Coated Systems by Destructive Means Using a Boring Device](#)

[D5894 Practice for Cyclic Salt Fog/UV Exposure of Painted Metal, \(Alternating Exposures in a Fog/Dry Cabinet and a UV/Condensation Cabinet\)](#)

[D7091 Practice for Nondestructive Measurement of Dry Film Thickness of Nonmagnetic Coatings Applied to Ferrous Metals and Nonmagnetic, Nonconductive Coatings Applied to Non-Ferrous Metals](#)

[G7 Practice for Atmospheric Environmental Exposure Testing of Nonmetallic Materials](#)

[G85 Practice for Modified Salt Spray \(Fog\) Testing](#)

[G87 Practice for Conducting Moist SO₂ Tests](#)

[G169 Guide for Application of Basic Statistical Methods to Weathering Tests](#)

2.2 *SAE Standards:*³

[J2334 Laboratory Cyclic Corrosion Test](#)

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D01 on Paint and Related Coatings, Materials, and Applications and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D01.53 on Coil Coated Metal.

Current edition approved June 1, 2018. Published June 2018. Originally approved in 2013. Last previous edition approved in 2013 as D7893 – 13. DOI: 10.1520/D7893-13R18.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions:*

3.1.1 *test panel, n*—a representative specimen of metal substrate, coated with a coating system for evaluation, and

³ Available from SAE International (SAE), 400 Commonwealth Dr., Warrendale, PA 15096-0001, <http://www.sae.org>.

prepared in a way that allows measurement of environmental degradation, especially corrosion, for system performance comparisons and ranking.

4. Summary of Guide

4.1 Test panels may be collected from coil line clips and formed building panels, or may be laboratory-prepared.

4.2 Test panels are prepared for testing in a specific configuration that simulates conditions on buildings.

4.3 Test panels are exposed to specific conditions in accordance with standard practices and methods.

4.4 After testing, corrosion is measured in a way that relates to building performance and allows performance ranking among samples, for example, by the corrosion creep distance from test panel edges.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 Coil-coated metals are subjected to a wide range of environmental stresses. Corrosion at cut edges, damage points, and fabricated areas can occur and lead to premature failure. Proper preparation and rating of test panels produces meaningful test results that allows comparisons between metal substrates and their pretreatments as well as between coating systems.

5.2 Laboratory-prepared test panels give a relative comparison of the substrates and coating systems under test, but may not duplicate all of the stresses imposed on manufactured components. Validation of results on a manufactured product is recommended.

5.3 Laboratory accelerated corrosion testing is useful in evaluating relative performance of new and existing metal coatings, pretreatments, and paints. It is up to the participating parties to agree on the significance of these tests to actual use.

6. Test Panel Preparation

6.1 An experiment is planned to evaluate the effects of specific variables in the coated metal system on corrosion performance. These variables typically include metal substrate, cleaning, pretreatment, primer, topcoat, forming, and other appropriate stresses.

6.2 The number of replicates in a test is determined by availability of substrate, time, resource constraints, and statistical methods for data analysis. Statistical methods require a number of replicates which is dependent upon the variability of the system under test and the measurement system itself. Although not specific to corrosion testing, Guide **G169** can serve as a reference for using statistical methods. Because of test variations, a thorough experimental plan includes controls to rank results. Positive (known good performance) and negative (known poor performance) controls may be included for more effective data interpretation.

6.3 The test panel size, configuration, and features (shape, bends, scribe lines, etc.) are factors in any corrosion test and should be determined during planning in order to ensure enough coated metal is available. A typical flat exposure test panel might be 10 by 20 cm, but could vary to accommodate

other configurations. The anticipated corrosion creep distance would also influence test panel size.

6.4 The test panel configuration and features are chosen to simulate real building panels. These might include cut edges, lap edges, scribe lines (to simulate damage), holes with or without fasteners, tension bends, impact dimples, and other forming operations (see **Fig. A1.1**).

6.5 In addition to test panel configuration, the laboratory accelerated corrosion tests, exposure sites, and panel orientations should be considered. Exposure sites represent unique environments that cyclically expose the test panels to many conditions such as temperature variation, moisture, salt concentrations, industrial pollutants, and solar radiation. Exposure angles such as 1°, 5°, and 45° from horizontal might be chosen for roof simulation, while vertical exposures would be used for side walls. Exposure directions, north, south, east, or west, can be chosen as can the degree of shade or shelter. A sheltered exposure may offer increased time of wetness for test panels.

6.6 Use of coil line-coated test panels (line clips) is preferred to best represent the commercial product's performance. Often, however, where a number of variables are tested in a screening experiment, it is not practical or economical to test line clips. In such cases, laboratory test panels may be prepared. For laboratory-prepared test panels, heating rate and peak metal temperature should be as close as possible to coil line conditions.

6.7 Pretreatments are applied to a cleaned surface by immersion, spray, drawdown, or rollcoater as appropriate in accordance with manufacturer specification.

6.8 For laboratory-prepared test panels, coil primers and topcoats are typically applied to test panels by wire wound rod. The wire number selected is critical for dry film thickness (DFT) accuracy. DFT must be established for each coating/rod number combination because the same rod can produce different DFTs with different coatings. Typical methods for measuring the dry film thickness of coil coatings include Practices **D4138**, **D7091**, and Test Method **D5796**.

6.9 For laboratory-prepared test panels, when the backside has not been line-primed or backed, a backer coating should be applied to test panels. This may be accomplished with room temperature-cured coatings or tape.

6.10 The metal shear selection is important for consistent results and should be used consistently for all corrosion test panels to be compared in an experiment if edge corrosion is to be measured. The shear type and blade sharpness may influence corrosion results.

6.11 For tests requiring cut edge corrosion measurement, care should be taken to ensure that edges are free of excess coating from the front or backside and to shear the edges with the burrs in a predetermined direction. For example, the right edge may be sheared burr-up and the left edge burr-down. Test panels for a given experiment must be sheared on the same shear to ensure consistent results. Shear sharpness and gap may influence results.